PSTAT 8 Sample Final Answer

Haosheng Zhou

Mar, 2023

Theorem 1 (Problem 1). For four sets $A, B, C, D, (A \times B) \cup (C \times D) \subset (A \cup C) \times (B \cup D)$.

Proof. Use direct proof. By the definition of subset relationship, we have to prove that $\forall x \in (A \times B) \cup (C \times D)$, such x satisfies $x \in (A \cup C) \times (B \cup D)$.

Notice that the elements in $A \times B$ and $C \times D$ are ordered pairs, so $\forall x \in (A \times B) \cup (C \times D)$, x has the form as an ordered pair that $x = (x_1, x_2)$. We know by the definition of set union that $x \in A \times B$ or $x \in C \times D$. By the definition of the Cartesian product, $x_1 \in A$ and $x_2 \in B$ or $x_1 \in C$ and $x_2 \in D$.

For the first case where $x_1 \in A$ and $x_2 \in B$, $x_1 \in A \subset A \cup C$, $x_2 \in B \subset B \cup D$ so $x = (x_1, x_2) \in (A \cup C) \times (B \cup D)$. For the second case where $x_1 \in C$ and $x_2 \in D$, $x_1 \in C \subset A \cup C$, $x_2 \in D \subset B \cup D$ so $x = (x_1, x_2) \in (A \cup C) \times (B \cup D)$.

As a result, for both possible cases it must be true that $x \in (A \cup C) \times (B \cup D)$, so the conclusion is proved. \Box

Theorem 2 (Problem 2). There are six positive integers $x_1, ..., x_6$, prove that at least two of them will have the same remainder when divided by 5.

Proof. Prove by contradiction.

Assume that any two of them will not have the same remainder when divided by 5. Denote $r_1, ..., r_6$ as the respective remainder of $x_1, ..., x_6$ divided by 5 so $r_1, ..., r_6$ can only take values in $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. By our assumption, $r_1, ..., r_6$ are six different values so $\{r_1, ..., r_6\}$ has cardinality six while $\{r_1, ..., r_6\} \subset \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ has cadinality five, a contradiction!

Remark. One may also solve this problem by constructing a function $f : \{x_1, ..., x_6\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that $f(x) = x \mod 5$ (the remainder of x divided by 5). If there exists $i \neq j$ such that $x_i = x_j$, it's the trivial case and the original statement is obviously true.

Otherwise, $x_1, ..., x_6$ are distinct integers. Since the domain and the codomain are both finite sets and the codomain (cardinality 5) contains strictly less elements than the domain (cardinality 6), such f cannot be injective, so the original statement is proved.

The pigeonhole principle says that if n items are put into m containers, there must exist at least one container that contains at least $\lceil \frac{n}{m} \rceil$ items where $\lceil \frac{n}{m} \rceil$ is the smallest integer that is larger or equal to $\frac{n}{m}$ (one may try to prove it by contradiction). Problem 2 is a special case of the pigeonhole principle since by taking n = 6, m = 5, we see that $\lceil \frac{n}{m} \rceil = 2$ so there must exist a number $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that at least 2 of the integers in $x_1, ..., x_6$ have the same remainder r divided by 5.

Theorem 3 (Problem 3). Define a relationship R on \mathbb{R}^2 that (a,b)R(c,d) if and only if $a^2 + b^2 < c^2 + d^2$. Is this an equivalence relationship?

Proof. By the definition of equivalence relationship, we have to check whether R is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

First notice that $\forall (a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2, a^2 + b^2 < a^2 + b^2$ is not true. So we make the judgement that it cannot be an equivalence relationship. In order to prove it, we just have to raise a counterexample.

Consider $(0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $0 = 0^2 + 0^2 < 0^2 + 0^2 = 0$ is not true, so (0,0)R(0,0) is not true and R is not reflexive. As a result, R is not an equivalence relationship.

Theorem 4 (Problem 4). Consider function $f : \mathbb{R} \to (0, \infty)$ such that $f(x) = e^x$, prove that it's bijective.

Proof. Use direct proof. In order to prove it's bijective, we have to prove that it's injective and surjective. In the following proof, log actually means ln, the natural logarithm.

To prove that it's injective, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, if f(x) = f(y), then $e^x = e^y$, so by taking logarithm on both sides, we see $x = \log(e^x) = \log(e^y) = y$. This proves that f is injective.

To prove it's surjective, $\forall a \in (0, \infty)$, consider f(x) = a so $e^x = a$, we will see that $x = \log a \in \mathbb{R}$ since a is a positive real number and logarithm is defined for positive real number. So $\forall a \in (0, \infty), \exists x = \log a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f(x) = a. This proves that f is surjective.

Theorem 5 (Problem 5). Consider function $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined as $f(n) = n^2 + 3$, is it injective, surjective, bijective?

Proof. First check injectivity. $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, if f(m) = f(n), then $m^2 + 3 = n^2 + 3$ so $m^2 = n^2$ and $m = \pm n$. This is telling us that m = n is not necessarily true and we can make the judgement that f is not injective.

To prove that it's not injective, we just have to raise a counterexample. Consider m = 1, n = -1 so $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq n$ but $f(m) = f(1) = 1^2 + 3 = 4 = (-1)^2 + 3 = f(-1) = f(n)$ so f is not injective.

Now check surjectivity. $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider f(n) = a so $n^2 + 3 = a$ and $n^2 = a - 3$. It's quite obvious that a - 3 can be negative but n^2 is always non-negative. We can make the judgement that f is not surjective.

To prove that it's not surjective, we just have to raise a counterexample. Consider $a = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and if $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that f(n) = a, then $n^2 = a - 3 = -3 < 0$ which is impossible for any integer n. So a = 0 is in the codomain but not in the range and f is not surjective.

Since f is not injective, it cannot be bijective.

Theorem 6 (Problem 6). For sets $A_1, ..., A_n$, first prove that $(A_1 \cup A_2)^c = A_1^c \cap A_2^c$ and then prove that $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 2, (\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i)^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i^c$

Proof. Use direct proof. To prove that two sets are equal, just need to prove the subset relationship in both directions. Let's first prove $(A_1 \cup A_2)^c \subset A_1^c \cap A_2^c$. $\forall x \in (A_1 \cup A_2)^c$, x is not an element in $A_1 \cup A_2$. Since $A_1 \subset A_1 \cup A_2$, $A_2 \subset A_1 \cup A_2$, we know that $x \notin A_1$ and $x \notin A_2$ so $x \in A_1^c$ and $x \notin A_2^c$ so $x \in A_1^c \cap A_2^c$, proved.

Then prove $A_1^c \cap A_2^c \subset (A_1 \cup A_2)^c$. $\forall x \in A_1^c \cap A_2^c$, by the definition of set intersection, $x \in A_1^c$ and $x \in A_2^c$ so $x \notin A_1$ and $x \notin A_2$. Since $A_1 \subset A_1 \cup A_2$, $A_2 \subset A_1 \cup A_2$, we know that $x \notin A_1 \cup A_2$ so $x \in (A_1 \cup A_2)^c$, proved.

So we have proved that $(A_1 \cup A_2)^c = A_1^c \cap A_2^c$. Now let's prove the equation for n sets by mathematical induction. When n = 2, $LHS = (A_1 \cup A_2)^c$, $RHS = A_1^c \cap A_2^c$ so both sides are equal by the proof above.

Now assume that the conclusion is true for n = k, i.e. $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i\right)^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} A_i^c$. When n = k+1,

$$LHS = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i\right)^c = \left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i\right) \cup A_{k+1}\right)^c = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i\right)^c \cap A_{k+1}^c \tag{1}$$

$$= \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\kappa} A_i^c\right) \cap A_{k+1}^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\kappa+1} A_i^c = RHS$$

$$\tag{2}$$

for the last equality on the first line, we view $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i\right)$ as a whole term and use the conclusion that $(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$ we have proved above and for the first equality on the second line, we use the induction assumption. So we have proved that the conclusion holds for n = k + 1 and this completes the induction process, proved.

Theorem 7 (Problem 7). Prove by induction that $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \ge 0, 9 | (4^{3n} + 8)$.

Proof. Prove by mathematical induction.

When n = 0, $r = 4^{3n} + 8 = 1 + 8 = 9$ so 9|9 is true.

Let's assume that this conclusion holds for n = k, i.e. $9|(4^{3k} + 8)$. When n = k + 1, the number becomes $4^{3(k+1)} + 8 = 4^{3k+3} + 8 = 4^34^{3k} + 8 = 64 \times 4^{3k} + 8$. Notice that $64 \times 4^{3k} + 8 = 64 \times (4^{3k} + 8) - 64 \times 8 + 8 = 64 \times (4^{3k} + 8) - 63 \times 8$ where by induction assumption $9|(4^{3k} + 8) \text{ so } 9|64 \times (4^{3k} + 8)$ and since 9|63, we know $9|63 \times 8$. As a result, $9|[64 \times (4^{3k} + 8) - 63 \times 8]$ so $9|[64 \times 4^{3k} + 8]$ so $9|(4^{3(k+1)} + 8)$. When n = k + 1 the conclusion still holds, this completes the induction process, proved.

Theorem 8 (Problem 8). Show by induction that for any positive integer n,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{j}{(j+1)!} \le 1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \tag{3}$$

Proof. Prove by mathematical induction.

When n = 1, $LHS = \frac{1}{2!} = \frac{1}{2}$, $RHS = 1 - \frac{1}{2!} = \frac{1}{2}$ so $LHS \leq RHS$, conclusion holds. Let's assume that this conclusion holds for n = k, i.e. $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{j}{(j+1)!} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{(k+1)!}$. When n = k+1,

$$LHS = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \frac{j}{(j+1)!} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{j}{(j+1)!} + \frac{k+1}{(k+2)!}$$
(4)

$$\leq 1 - \frac{1}{(k+1)!} + \frac{k+1}{(k+2)!} = 1 - \frac{k+2-(k+1)}{(k+2)!} = 1 - \frac{1}{(k+2)!} = RHS$$
(5)

where on the second line the inequality comes from induction assumption. This completes the induction process and it's proved. \Box

Theorem 9 (Problem 9). Let $\log_2 n$ denote the log with base 2 and $\log^{(k)} n$ is iteratively defined as $\log^{(k-1)}(\log_2 n)$ if it's well-defined and with initial value $\log^{(0)} n = n$ for non-negative integers k, n. Define the iterated logarithm as

$$\log^* n = \min\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \log^{(k)} n \le 1\right\}$$
(6)

for positive integer n. (i): Compute $\log^{(2)} 16$, $\log^{(3)} 256$, $\log^{(3)} 2^{65536}$. (ii): Compute $\log^* 2$, $\log^* 4$, $\log^* 2^{2048}$.

 $\begin{aligned} Proof. \ (i): \ \log^{(2)} 16 &= \log^{(1)}(\log_2 16) = \log^{(1)} 4 = \log^{(0)}(\log_2 4) = \log^{(0)} 2 = 2. \\ \log^{(3)} 256 &= \log^{(2)}(\log_2 256) = \log^{(2)} 8 = \log^{(1)}(\log_2 8) = \log^{(1)} 3 = \log^{(0)}(\log_2 3) = \log_2 3. \\ \log^{(3)} 2^{65536} &= \log^{(2)}(\log_2 2^{65536}) = \log^{(2)} 65536 = \log^{(1)}(\log_2 65536) = \log^{(1)} 16 = \log^{(0)}(\log_2 16) = \log^{(0)} 4 = 4. \end{aligned}$

(ii): $\log^{(0)} 2 = 2 > 1$, $\log^{(1)} 2 = \log_2 2 = 1 \le 1$, so $\log^* 2 = 1$.

 $\log^{(0)} 4 = 4 > 1, \log^{(1)} 4 = \log_2 4 = 2 > 1, \log^{(2)} 4 = \log_2 \log^{(1)} 4 = \log_2 2 = 1 \le 1, \text{ so } \log^* 4 = 2.$

 $\log^{(0)} 2^{2048} = 2^{2048} > 1, \log^{(1)} 2^{2048} = \log_2 2^{2048} = 2048 > 1, \log^{(2)} 2^{2048} = \log_2 \log^{(1)} 2^{2048} = \log_2 2048 = 11 > 1, \log^{(3)} 2^{2048} = \log_2 \log^{(2)} 2^{2048} = \log_2 11 > 1 \text{ since } 11 > 2^1 = 2.$

Now $\log^{(4)} 2^{2048} = \log_2 \log^{(3)} 2^{2048} = \log_2 \log_2 11 > 1$ since $\log_2 11 > 2^1 = 2$ this is because $11 > 2^2 = 4$.

Continue the iteration $\log^{(5)} 2^{2048} = \log_2 \log^{(4)} 2^{2048} = \log_2 \log_2 \log_2 \log_2 \log_2 11 \le 1$ since $\log_2 \log_2 11 \le 2^1 = 2$ this is because $\log_2 11 \le 2^2 = 4$ this is because $11 \le 2^4 = 16$.

As a result, $\log^* 2^{2048} = 5$.

Remark. We can see that $\log^* n = k$ if and only if $2^{2^{2\cdots}} < n \le 2^{2^{2\cdots}}$ where there are k - 1 copies of '2' appearing on LHS and k copies of '2' appearing on RHS. Since $2^{2^{2^2}} = 2^{2^4} < 2^{2048} = 2^{2^{11}} < 2^{2^{16}} = 2^{2^{2^{2^2}}}$, this is consistent with our calculation result that $\log^* 2^{2048} = 5$.